

TESTIMONY ON CALIFORNIA PERFORMANCE REVIEW

SUBMITTED BY
DAVID GRANNIS
PLANNING COMPANY ASSOCIATES, INC.
AUGUST 13, 2004

O U T L I N E

I. Introduction

II. Statement of the Situation

A. USC Report

*B. Condition of Transportation Infrastructure in California –
“Critical & Not Improving”*

III. Vision – Putting People First

A. Our Children’s Future

B. What we are getting...

C. Thinking Bigger

IV. Partnership & History

A. SB-45

*B. California Transportation Commission & Regional
Agencies*

V. Saving Money

A. Funding Context

1. \$5 billion lost in the past three years
2. NONE of Proposition 42 has gone to transportation
3. Federal government won't bail us out
4. State gas tax – diminishing returns
5. Only growing transportation source: local measures

B. Stabilize Revenues:

1. Firewall Proposition 42
2. Index Gas Tax

C. Infrastructure Bank – Leveraging

D. User Fees/Tolling – “California Mobility Fund”

1. Not just HOT – Goods Movement

E. Performance Measures

VI. Streamlining Operations

A. Changing delivery, changing “business as usual” – context of partnership

1. Added funding meaningless without delivery reform

B. Regional Mobility Authorities/Comprehensive Development Agreements (RMA’s/CDA’s)

1. Lesson of SB-45 – regional/state partnership produces results

C. What Others Are Doing

1. Texas, Virginia

D. What We Have Done – Alameda Corridor, Pasadena Light Rail

VII. Implementation: Steps to Implement CPR

A. Authority to create RMA’s

1. Pilot Program open to both urban & rural counties for project delivery

B. Modify Proposition 42 to:

1. Protect revenue source for transportation
2. Enable SIB to utilize portion for GARVEE